

REMARKS

The Reply to Office Action dated May 16, 2005 was a complete response to the pending Office Action. However, subsequent to filing the Reply, Applicant noted an oversight made without any intent to deceive. An amended paragraph had been inadvertently omitted from claim 27, *i.e.*, a paragraph related to the first output means, presently set forth in amended claim 27.

Applicant reiterates the arguments for patentability included with the Reply of May 16, 2005, adding that, in addition to not disclosing or suggesting the claim elements noted in the May 16, 2005 Reply, the references also fail to disclose or suggest the first output means, having the features set forth in amended claim 27 and its dependent claims.


Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the pending claims.

Please grant any additional extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: June 8, 2005

By: 

James W. Edmondson
Reg. No. 33,871